Advanced International Marketing

June 4, 2026

1.

MARKETING MANAGEMENT

Lecture Notes: Foundations from the Most Cited Research Papers

---

A Guided Tour of the 10 Most-Cited Papers in Marketing Research

And

A Guided Tour of the 10 Most Recently Cited Papers in Marketing Research

---

College of Business | Marketing Management

Lecture prepared for undergraduate and graduate students

Introduction: Why Study the Most-Cited Papers?

In marketing academia, citation counts serve as a compass for understanding which ideas have endured, shaped practice, and launched entire sub-disciplines. The papers covered in this lecture are not merely famous — they form the intellectual backbone of modern marketing management. Each paper introduced a framework, scale, or theory that scholars and practitioners still apply today.

This lecture note walks through the top 10 most-cited works in marketing research, explaining what each paper argued, why it mattered, and how its ideas connect to contemporary marketing management.

Summary Overview: Top 10 Most-Cited Marketing Research Papers

Rank	Author(s) & Year	Paper Title	Key Topic	Est. Citations
1	Kotler & Keller (1967/ongoing)	Marketing Management	Marketing Framework & Strategy	75,000+
2	Parasuraman, Zeithaml & Berry (1988)	SERVQUAL	Service Quality	47,000+
3	Fornell & Larcker (1981)	Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables	Research Methodology / SEM	30,000+
4	Keller (1993)	Conceptualizing, Measuring & Managing Customer-Based Brand Equity	Brand Equity	25,000+
5	Morgan & Hunt (1994)	The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing	Relationship Marketing	22,000+
6	Oliver (1980)	A Cognitive Model of the Antecedents and Consequences of Satisfaction Decisions	Customer Satisfaction	20,000+
7	Zeithaml (1988)	Consumer Perceptions of Price, Quality, and Value: A Means-End Model	Perceived Value	18,000+
8	Anderson & Gerbing (1988)	Structural Equation Modeling in Practice: A Review and Recommended Two-Step Approach	Research Methodology / SEM	16,000+
9	Howard & Sheth (1969)	The Theory of Buyer Behavior	Consumer Behavior	12,000+

Rank	Author(s) & Year	Paper Title	Key Topic	Est. Citations
10	Kohli & Jaworski (1990)	Market Orientation: The Construct, Research Propositions, and Managerial Implications	Market Orientation	10,000+

Note: Citation estimates are approximate, drawn from Google Scholar and multi-database bibliometric analyses. Rankings may shift slightly across databases.

#1 Marketing Management

Authors: Philip Kotler (with Kevin Lane Keller in later editions) **Journal/Source:** Prentice-Hall (textbook, 1st ed. 1967; 16th ed. 2022) **Year:** 1967 – present **Citations:** 75,000+ citations (Google Scholar)

Overview & Background

Philip Kotler's Marketing Management is the most-cited work in marketing by a wide margin. First published in 1967, it introduced a rigorous, systematic framework for managing marketing activities — moving the field from an art into a structured management discipline. Kotler synthesized economics, behavioral science, and management theory to produce an integrated view of how organizations identify, create, communicate, and deliver customer value. The book introduced and codified concepts such as the marketing mix (4Ps), segmentation, targeting, and positioning (STP), and the idea of customer-centricity. In later editions co-authored with Kevin Lane Keller, it expanded to cover digital marketing, brand management, and global marketing.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Marketing Mix (4Ps): Product, Price, Place, and Promotion as the fundamental levers of marketing strategy
- STP Framework: Segmentation identifies distinct groups; Targeting selects which to serve; Positioning creates a distinct image in consumers' minds
- Customer Value Delivery: Marketing as a value-creation and value-communication system, not just a sales function
- Market Analysis: Emphasizes environmental scanning (SWOT, PEST) as the foundation of marketing planning
- Marketing as a Social Process: Marketing serves societal goals beyond purely transactional exchange
- Later editions introduced concepts of holistic marketing, relationship marketing, and digital channels

Managerial Implications

Managers must think of marketing not just as advertising, but as the entire process of identifying customer needs, designing offerings, pricing appropriately, distributing effectively, and communicating value. Kotler's framework forces managers to ask: Who is our target segment? What is our value proposition? How do we consistently deliver and communicate that value?

Classroom Discussion Points

Discussion: Choose a brand your students recognize (e.g., Apple, Nike, or a local brand). Apply the STP framework and the 4Ps. Ask: Has this brand's positioning shifted over time? What triggered the shift? This exercise connects the foundational framework to real strategic decisions.

#2 SERVQUAL: A Multiple-Item Scale for Measuring Consumer Perceptions of Service Quality

Authors: A. Parasuraman, Valarie A. Zeithaml, Leonard L. Berry **Journal/Source:** Journal of Retailing, Vol. 64(1), pp. 12–40 **Year:** 1988 **Citations:** 47,000+ citations (Google Scholar)

Overview & Background

Before SERVQUAL, there was no systematic way to measure service quality. Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry's landmark 1985 conceptual paper and 1988 empirical paper introduced a five-dimension framework and a 22-item measurement instrument for capturing the gap between customer expectations and perceived service performance. The core insight: service quality is subjective and experiential, best understood as the discrepancy between what customers expect and what they receive. The five dimensions — Reliability, Assurance, Tangibles, Empathy, and Responsiveness (RATER) — remain the dominant framework for service quality research and management.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- The Gaps Model: Service quality gaps occur at five stages — *between customer expectations and management perceptions*, between management perceptions and service specifications, between specifications and actual delivery, between delivery and external communications, and between expected and perceived service
- RATER Dimensions: Reliability (delivering promised service consistently), Assurance (competence and courtesy), Tangibles (physical facilities, equipment, personnel appearance), Empathy (individualized attention), Responsiveness (willingness to help promptly)
- Expectation vs. Perception: Service quality = Perceived performance minus Customer expectations (P - E model)
- 22-item scale: Each of the 5 dimensions is measured by multiple items on both expectation and perception sides
- Service quality as an antecedent: High SERVQUAL scores are linked to customer satisfaction, loyalty, and word-of-mouth

Managerial Implications

Service managers can deploy the SERVQUAL questionnaire to systematically identify where their service falls short of customer expectations. If customers score 'Reliability' low — meaning services are inconsistently delivered — the firm knows exactly where to invest in process improvement. Airlines, banks, hospitals, and hospitality firms widely use SERVQUAL audits.

Classroom Discussion Points

Classroom exercise: Administer a simplified SERVQUAL survey for a service students frequently use (campus dining, university registration, or a retail bank). Compute the expectation-perception gaps. Which dimension has the largest gap? What would you recommend to management?

#3 Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error

Authors: Claes Fornell & David F. Larcker **Journal/Source:** Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 18(1), pp. 39–50 **Year:** 1981 **Citations:** 30,000+ citations (Google Scholar) — #1 most-cited article in marketing research journals per threshold citation analysis

Overview & Background

Although it is a methodological paper rather than a substantive marketing theory, Fornell and Larcker (1981) is arguably the most-cited article within the marketing academic journals. It addressed a fundamental problem: how do you know that your survey scales actually measure what you claim? The paper introduced criteria for assessing the validity and reliability of latent constructs in structural equation models (SEM). Specifically, it introduced Average Variance Extracted (AVE) as a measure of convergent validity and the AVE-based test for discriminant validity. These tests became mandatory in virtually every empirical marketing study.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Structural Equation Modeling (SEM): A statistical framework that simultaneously tests the relationships among multiple latent (unobserved) constructs using observed indicators
- Convergent Validity: The degree to which multiple measures of the same construct agree — assessed by AVE > 0.50
- Discriminant Validity: The degree to which measures of different constructs are empirically distinct — AVE of each construct should exceed the squared correlation with any other construct
- Average Variance Extracted (AVE): Calculated as the mean of squared factor loadings for a construct; must exceed 0.50 for convergent validity
- Composite Reliability (CR): A measure of internal consistency of a scale, preferred over Cronbach's alpha in SEM contexts
- Measurement Model vs. Structural Model: The paper advocates testing the measurement model first (confirmatory factor analysis) before interpreting structural paths

Managerial Implications

Any marketing manager using customer surveys (e.g., satisfaction, loyalty, brand equity scales) needs to ensure those scales are valid and reliable. Without validation, managerial decisions based on survey data may be misleading. Fornell-Larcker criteria are the gold standard for demonstrating that marketing measurement tools actually work.

Classroom Discussion Points

Show students a marketing research paper that uses SEM. Walk through the measurement model output — do the AVE values meet the 0.50 threshold? Are discriminant validity conditions satisfied? This demystifies quantitative marketing research and shows how foundations of data-driven marketing decisions are built.

#4 Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Managing Customer-Based Brand Equity

Authors: Kevin Lane Keller **Journal/Source:** Journal of Marketing, Vol. 57(1), pp. 1–22
Year: 1993 **Citations:** 25,000+ citations (Google Scholar)

Overview & Background

Keller's 1993 paper is the foundational text for understanding brand equity from the consumer's perspective. Prior to this paper, brand equity was largely discussed in financial terms. Keller reframed it as a cognitive concept: a brand has equity when consumers' knowledge of and associations with the brand lead them to respond differently to its marketing activities than they would to an equivalent unbranded product. The paper introduced the Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE) model, organized around brand awareness and brand image — the two fundamental building blocks of what consumers know and feel about a brand.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE): The differential effect of brand knowledge on consumer response to the marketing of the brand
- Brand Awareness: The ability of consumers to recognize or recall the brand in different purchase situations (brand recognition vs. brand recall)
- Brand Image: The perceptions about a brand reflected by brand associations held in consumer memory
- Brand Associations: Anything linked in memory to a brand node; categorized by type (attributes, benefits, attitudes), favorability, strength, and uniqueness
- Favorable, Strong, and Unique Associations: The three criteria that determine whether a brand association contributes positively to CBBE
- Brand Knowledge: The combination of brand awareness and brand image that constitutes what consumers know about a brand
- CBBE Brand Pyramid (developed in subsequent work): Salience → Performance/Imagery → Judgments/Feelings → Resonance

Managerial Implications

Managers can use Keller's CBBE framework to audit brand health. Is the brand easily recalled in the relevant purchase category (awareness)? What associations do consumers hold — are they favorable, strong, and unique (image)? This framework guides decisions on brand positioning, extension feasibility, and communication strategy. It explains why premium pricing is possible when brand associations are strong.

Classroom Discussion Points

Ask students to map the brand associations of two competing brands (e.g., Samsung vs. Apple; Starbucks vs. local coffee shop). Use brand association maps. Which brand has stronger, more favorable, and more unique associations? How did they achieve that? What marketing activities built those associations?

#5 The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing

Authors: Robert M. Morgan & Shelby D. Hunt **Journal/Source:** Journal of Marketing, Vol. 58(3), pp. 20–38 **Year:** 1994 **Citations:** 22,000+ citations (Google Scholar)

Overview & Background

Morgan and Hunt's 1994 paper is the cornerstone of relationship marketing theory. It argued that successful long-term relationships between buyers and sellers — or between any two parties — are governed primarily by two variables: commitment and trust. The paper proposed the KMV (Key Mediating Variable) model, where trust and commitment mediate the effects of relationship benefits, shared values, communication, and opportunistic behavior on relationship outcomes (cooperation, acquiescence, functional conflict, decision uncertainty, and propensity to leave). The paper also extended relationship marketing beyond just buyer-seller dyads to 10 types of marketing relationships.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Relationship Commitment: A partner's belief that an ongoing relationship is so important that it warrants maximum effort to maintain it (affective and calculative commitment)
- Trust: One party's belief in the reliability and integrity of the exchange partner
- KMV Model: Trust and commitment are the key mediating variables between relationship antecedents and relationship outcomes
- Antecedents of Commitment: Relationship benefits, relationship termination costs, and shared values
- Antecedents of Trust: Shared values, communication, and opportunistic behavior (negative antecedent)
- Relationship Outcomes: Trust leads to cooperation, functional conflict, reduced uncertainty; Commitment leads to cooperation, acquiescence, and reduced propensity to leave
- 10 Forms of Relationship Marketing: Supplier, lateral, buyer, internal, and alliances — expanding the scope well beyond customer relationships

Managerial Implications

Companies that earn customer trust and commitment experience lower customer churn, higher share-of-wallet, and more resilience during crises. Managerial implications include investing in consistent service delivery (trust-building), transparent communication, and loyalty programs that create calculative commitment. B2B marketers especially rely on trust and commitment as foundations of key account management.

Classroom Discussion Points

Case study discussion: Analyze a company known for customer loyalty (e.g., Apple ecosystem, Amazon Prime, or a bank with high customer retention). What behaviors by the company built trust and commitment? What would damage those two factors? How would the KMV model predict behavior if trust collapsed?

#6 A Cognitive Model of the Antecedents and Consequences of Satisfaction Decisions

Authors: Richard L. Oliver **Journal/Source:** Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 17(4), pp. 460–469 **Year:** 1980 **Citations:** 20,000+ citations (Google Scholar) — Richard Oliver is the most-cited author in marketing research per threshold citation analyses

Overview & Background

Richard Oliver's 1980 paper is one of the most influential pieces in consumer behavior and marketing. It introduced the Expectation-Disconfirmation Theory (EDT) as a systematic model of how customers arrive at satisfaction judgments. The paper argued that satisfaction is not simply a function of product performance, but rather the result of comparing actual performance against pre-purchase expectations. When performance exceeds expectations (positive disconfirmation), satisfaction results; when it falls short (negative disconfirmation), dissatisfaction results; when it matches expectations (confirmation), a neutral or modestly positive evaluation occurs.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Expectation-Disconfirmation Theory (EDT): Customer satisfaction is determined by the comparison of actual performance against prior expectations
- Positive Disconfirmation: Perceived performance exceeds expectations → satisfaction (and potentially delight)
- Negative Disconfirmation: Perceived performance falls short of expectations → dissatisfaction
- Confirmation: Performance matches expectations → neutral satisfaction
- Expectations as Standards: Expectations are formed by prior experience, word-of-mouth, advertising, and brand image
- Attitude-Behavior Link: Satisfaction influences future repurchase intentions and loyalty
- Oliver (1997) later added the concept of Customer Delight as a distinct, higher emotional state beyond satisfaction, triggered by unexpectedly pleasant surprises

Managerial Implications

EDT explains why managing customer expectations is as important as managing product/service performance. Overpromising in advertising creates expectations that performance may not meet, generating dissatisfaction even when objective quality is high. Managers should set realistic expectations and then consistently exceed them — the recipe for customer delight and loyalty.

Classroom Discussion Points

Have students recall a service they were highly satisfied with versus one that disappointed them. Walk through the EDT framework: What were their expectations before the experience? How did actual performance compare? This makes the abstract model concrete and personal, leading to rich discussion on how marketing communications shape expectations.

#7 Consumer Perceptions of Price, Quality, and Value: A Means-End Model and Synthesis of Evidence

Authors: Valarie A. Zeithaml **Journal/Source:** Journal of Marketing, Vol. 52(3), pp. 2–22
Year: 1988 **Citations:** 30,000+ citations (the most-cited author in the marketing field per Google Scholar) — this specific paper: ~18,000+ citations

Overview & Background

Zeithaml's 1988 paper is a landmark in how marketers think about value — the core equation underlying every purchase decision. Drawing on means-end chain theory, Zeithaml explored how consumers integrate price (what they give up) and quality (what they get) to arrive at perceived value. The paper distinguished between objective price, perceived price, perceived quality, and perceived value — showing these are distinct cognitive constructs that consumers process differently. Crucially, value is not fixed: it varies by individual, context, and the reference standards consumers use.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Perceived Value: A consumer's overall assessment of the utility of a product based on perceptions of what is received versus what is given
- Means-End Chain Model: Products have attributes → attributes provide functional consequences → consequences deliver personal values (terminal/instrumental)
- Objective vs. Perceived Price: Consumers rarely know or use the actual numerical price; they encode and use a perceived (often categorical) price
- Perceived Quality: An overall judgment of a product's excellence relative to alternatives — distinct from objective quality
- Reference Prices: The price consumers expect to pay or consider fair — lower actual prices vs. reference price increase perceived value
- Intrinsic vs. Extrinsic Cues: Intrinsic cues (product composition) vs. extrinsic cues (brand name, price, packaging) both signal quality
- Value = Get / Give: The higher perceived quality relative to perceived price sacrifice, the higher perceived value

Managerial Implications

Pricing strategy cannot be divorced from quality perceptions. A low price can signal low quality; a high price can signal high quality. Marketers must manage both sides of the value equation — elevating perceived quality through branding and product design, while managing price perceptions through anchoring, reference prices, and value communication. 'Value for money' messaging only works when perceived quality supports the price.

Classroom Discussion Points

Price experiment: Show students the same product (e.g., wine or coffee) at two different price points. Ask them to predict quality. This replicates classic price-quality inference research. Then discuss: In your category, what extrinsic cues signal quality? How does brand name serve as a quality cue? This brings Zeithaml's framework alive.

8 Structural Equation Modeling in Practice: A Review and Recommended Two-Step Approach

Authors: James C. Anderson & David W. Gerbing **Journal/Source:** Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 103(3), pp. 411–423 **Year:** 1988 **Citations:** ~16,000 citations in marketing contexts — #2 most-cited in marketing research per threshold citation analyses

Overview & Background

Anderson and Gerbing's 1988 paper formalized a methodological approach that is now standard in virtually all survey-based marketing research. The paper recommended a two-step approach to structural equation modeling: first, establish a well-fitting measurement model (via confirmatory factor analysis), then test the structural (path) model. Prior to this recommendation, many researchers skipped measurement model validation, leading to uninterpretable structural results. The paper also clarified the distinction between reflective and formative constructs and provided guidance on identifying measurement errors.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Two-Step Approach: Step 1 — develop a valid, reliable measurement model (CFA); Step 2 — test structural paths only after measurement model is validated
- Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA): A hypothesis-testing approach to factor analysis that tests whether observed variables load onto hypothesized latent factors
- Measurement Model: The component of SEM that specifies which observed indicators measure which latent constructs
- Structural Model: The component of SEM that specifies the hypothesized causal paths between latent constructs
- Unidimensionality: Each indicator should load on only one latent factor — violated when items are cross-loaded

- Discriminant and Convergent Validity: (In tandem with Fornell-Larcker) — the measurement model must show that constructs are both internally consistent and empirically distinct
- Path Coefficients: Standardized regression weights in the structural model, indicating the strength and direction of hypothesized relationships

Managerial Implications

Managers who commission marketing research studies benefit from this paper indirectly. It ensures that the constructs being measured (brand loyalty, customer satisfaction, purchase intention) are properly defined and reliably measured before conclusions are drawn. Research firms and consultancies that validate their measurement models produce more trustworthy insights for managerial decision-making.

Classroom Discussion Points

Teach students to critique a marketing journal article. Find a paper using SEM. Did the authors follow the two-step approach? Did they report CFA results before structural results? Do the fit indices (CFI, RMSEA, SRMR) meet standard thresholds? This develops critical reading skills essential for evidence-based management.

#9 The Theory of Buyer Behavior

Authors: John A. Howard & Jagdish N. Sheth **Journal/Source:** John Wiley & Sons (book), also published in Journal of the American Statistical Association **Year:** 1969 **Citations:** ~12,000+ citations

Overview & Background

Howard and Sheth's Theory of Buyer Behavior was the first comprehensive, integrative model of consumer decision-making. It drew together inputs from psychology, sociology, and economics to explain how buyers go from stimulus inputs (marketing and social stimuli) through a complex internal psychological process to purchase outputs. The model introduced three types of problem-solving behavior — Extensive Problem Solving (EPS), Limited Problem Solving (LPS), and Routinized Response Behavior (RRB) — reflecting different levels of buyer involvement and information processing based on product familiarity and experience. It was the first theory to treat the buyer as an information processor.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Three Problem-Solving Modes: Extensive Problem Solving (EPS) for new, high-involvement purchases; Limited Problem Solving (LPS) for moderately familiar products; Routinized Response Behavior (RRB) for habitual, low-involvement purchases
- Input Variables: Significative stimuli (the actual product), symbolic stimuli (brand/advertising), and social stimuli (family, reference groups)

- Hypothetical Constructs: Internal psychological variables including perceptual bias, motives, attitudes, brand comprehension, and intention
- Output Variables: Attention, comprehension, attitude, intention, and actual purchase behavior
- Learning Constructs: Satisfaction from past purchases feeds back to modify motives, brand comprehension, and future intentions
- Exogenous Variables: Personality, social class, culture, financial status — variables that moderate the core model
- Predisposition (Attitude): A general predisposition toward a brand, determined by motives and brand comprehension — a key predictor of purchase intention

Managerial Implications

Marketers should design strategies appropriate to the buyer's problem-solving mode. For EPS purchases (cars, homes, expensive electronics), provide detailed information, educational content, and reassurance — buyers are actively seeking information and reducing uncertainty. For RRB purchases (beverages, snacks), keep the brand salient and available — habit drives behavior. For LPS purchases (apparel, mid-range electronics), comparative advertising and reviews are most effective.

Classroom Discussion Points

Map the Howard-Sheth model to a student's most recent major purchase. What were the input stimuli? What internal constructs were activated? Was this EPS, LPS, or RRB? This forces students to connect their own decision processes to a formal theoretical model — a powerful learning exercise.

#10 Market Orientation: The Construct, Research Propositions, and Managerial Implications

Authors: Ajay K. Kohli & Bernard J. Jaworski **Journal/Source:** Journal of Marketing, Vol. 54(2), pp. 1–18 **Year:** 1990 **Citations:** ~10,000+ citations

Overview & Background

Kohli and Jaworski's 1990 paper operationalized the 'marketing concept' — the philosophy that firms should be organized around understanding and satisfying customer needs — into a measurable organizational behavior: Market Orientation. The paper defined market orientation as the organization-wide generation of market intelligence pertaining to current and future customer needs, dissemination of intelligence across departments, and organization-wide responsiveness to it. This was a landmark shift from treating marketing as a department to treating it as an organizational philosophy. The paper spawned hundreds of empirical studies linking market orientation to firm performance.

Core Concepts & Key Findings

- Market Orientation Definition: Three behavioral components — Intelligence Generation, Intelligence Dissemination, and Responsiveness
- Intelligence Generation: The organization-wide process of gathering information about customers, competitors, and environmental forces to understand present and future needs
- Intelligence Dissemination: The sharing of market intelligence across all departments — marketing cannot be the sole owner of customer knowledge
- Responsiveness: The organization's actual action (designing products, changing strategies, adjusting communications) in response to market intelligence
- Market Orientation vs. Marketing Orientation: Critically, market orientation is an organizational behavior, not a departmental one — it involves all functions
- Performance Outcomes: Kohli and Jaworski (and Narver-Slater in a parallel paper) demonstrated that higher market orientation correlates with better business performance, customer satisfaction, and employee satisfaction
- Antecedents: Top management emphasis, interdepartmental conflict, and formalization affect a firm's level of market orientation

Managerial Implications

High-performing firms are characterized by systematic market intelligence gathering and cross-functional sharing of customer and competitor insights. Marketing managers should champion processes that break down silos — ensuring R&D, operations, and finance all understand and act on market insights, not just the marketing department. A sales force that doesn't share customer feedback with product development is a symptom of low market orientation.

Classroom Discussion Points

Ask students to evaluate a company they know (employer, internship, or a famous case) on the three dimensions of market orientation. Does the company systematically generate market intelligence? How is it disseminated? Is there evidence of responsiveness? This connects the abstract construct to organizational reality and prepares students for careers where they will need to foster customer-centric organizational cultures.

Synthesis: How the 10 Papers Connect

References

Anderson, J.C., & Gerbing, D.W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. Psychological Bulletin, 103(3), 411–423.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. Journal of Marketing Research, 18(1), 39–50.

Howard, J.A., & Sheth, J.N. (1969). The Theory of Buyer Behavior. John Wiley & Sons.

Keller, K.L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. Journal of Marketing, 57(1), 1–22.

Kohli, A.K., & Jaworski, B.J. (1990). Market orientation: The construct, research propositions, and managerial implications. Journal of Marketing, 54(2), 1–18.

Kotler, P. (1967). Marketing Management: Analysis, Planning, and Control (1st ed.). Prentice-Hall. [16th ed. with K.L. Keller, Pearson, 2022]

Morgan, R.M., & Hunt, S.D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. Journal of Marketing, 58(3), 20–38.

Oliver, R.L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. Journal of Marketing Research, 17(4), 460–469.

Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A., & Berry, L.L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. Journal of Retailing, 64(1), 12–40.

Zeithaml, V.A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. Journal of Marketing, 52(3), 2–22.

MARKETING MANAGEMENT

Lecture Notes: Recent Trends from the Most-Cited Papers

Top 10 Most-Cited Papers Published c. 2016–2022

College of Business | Marketing Management
Lecture prepared for undergraduate and graduate students

Introduction: Why Recent Citations Matter

The marketing discipline evolves rapidly. While foundational papers (covered in a companion lecture) established the theoretical bedrock, recent high-impact work reflects how new technologies, global disruptions, and shifting consumer behavior are reshaping the field. Studying the most-cited recent papers reveals the dominant conversations happening right now in marketing research — and, crucially, the practical challenges managers face today.

This lecture covers the 10 most-cited marketing research papers published approximately between 2016 and 2022. Citations are estimated from Google Scholar and Semantic Scholar as of early 2025. The focus is on papers that have rapidly accumulated thousands of citations — a sign they struck a nerve across the global research community.

Three overarching themes run through the list: (1) the rise of digital technologies and AI in marketing, (2) the COVID-19 pandemic's profound disruption of consumer behavior, and (3) the growing importance of research methodology as the field scales up empirical inquiry.

Summary Overview: Top 10 Most-Cited Recent Marketing Research Papers

#	Author(s) & Year	Paper Title	Topic Area	Citations
1	Lemon & Verhoef (2016)	Understanding Customer Experience Throughout the Customer Journey	Customer Experience / Journey	~8,500+
2	Verhoef et al. (2021)	Digital Transformation: A Multidisciplinary Reflection and Research Agenda	Digital Transformation	~3,300+
3	Huang & Rust (2021)	A Strategic Framework for Artificial Intelligence in Marketing	AI in Marketing	~2,800+

#	Author(s) & Year	Paper Title	Topic Area	Citations
4	Sheth (2020)	Impact of COVID-19 on Consumer Behavior: Will the Old Habits Return or Die?	COVID-19 & Consumer Behavior	~2,500+
5	Hair et al. (2019)	When to Use and How to Report Results of PLS-SEM	Research Methodology (PLS-SEM)	~2,400+
6	Donthu et al. (2021)	How to Conduct a Bibliometric Analysis: An Overview and Guidelines	Research Methodology (Bibliometrics)	~2,200+
7	De Keyser et al. (2020)	Frontline Service Technology Infusion: Conceptual Archetypes and Future Research Directions	Service Technology / AI-Service	~1,800+
8	Grewal et al. (2020)	Retailing in the Twenty-First Century: Technology and the Future of Retail	Retail & Technology	~1,600+
9	Snyder (2019)	Literature Review as a Research Methodology: An Overview and Guidelines	Research Methodology	~1,500+
10	Sigala (2020)	Tourism and COVID-19: Impacts and Implications for Advancing and Resetting Industry and Research	Tourism Marketing & Crisis	~1,400+

Note: Citation estimates are approximate and drawn from Google Scholar / Semantic Scholar (as of early 2025). Rankings may vary slightly across databases. Papers are drawn from Scopus-indexed marketing, business, and interdisciplinary journals.

#1 Understanding Customer Experience Throughout the Customer Journey

Authors: Katherine N. Lemon & Peter C. Verhoef **Journal:** Journal of Marketing, Vol. 80(6), pp. 69–96 **Year:** 2016 **Est. Citations:** **~8,500+ citations**

Overview & Background

Lemon and Verhoef's 2016 paper quickly became one of the most-cited marketing papers of the past decade because it addressed a question every marketer faces: how do customers experience a brand across multiple touchpoints, over time, before, during, and after a purchase? The authors developed a comprehensive conceptual framework of the customer journey, integrating fragmented concepts of customer experience, customer engagement, and omnichannel behavior into a single, coherent model. They defined customer experience as multidimensional — encompassing cognitive, emotional, behavioral, sensory, and social responses — and showed that it unfolds dynamically across three stages: pre-purchase, purchase, and post-purchase. The paper also distinguished four categories of touchpoints: brand-owned, partner-owned, customer-owned, and social/external, and argued that the interplay of these touchpoints across the journey determines overall brand experience and loyalty.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Customer Journey: The complete sequence of touchpoints a customer interacts with from need recognition through post-purchase evaluation
- Customer Experience (CX): A multidimensional construct comprising cognitive, emotional, behavioral, sensory, and social responses to all brand interactions
- Three Journey Stages: Pre-purchase (awareness, consideration, search), Purchase (choice, ordering, payment), and Post-purchase (consumption, evaluation, engagement, loyalty)
- Four Touchpoint Categories: Brand-owned (advertising, website, retail); Partner-owned (channels, co-branding); Customer-owned (personal decisions); External/Social (word-of-mouth, social media, community)
- Journey Orchestration: The deliberate design of consistent experiences across all touchpoints and channels (omnichannel management)
- Customer Engagement: An emergent construct describing the customer's behavioral, emotional, and cognitive investment in brand interactions beyond mere transactions
- Dynamic Nature of CX: Past experience at one touchpoint shapes expectations and responses at subsequent touchpoints — experience accumulates over time

Managerial Implications

Marketers must audit and actively design every touchpoint — not just advertising and sales. A customer who has a seamless online browsing experience but a frustrating checkout, or a great purchase but no post-sale follow-up, will have a fragmented and negative overall journey impression. Journey mapping — visually charting every customer interaction — has become a standard tool directly inspired by this framework. Organizations that align their entire value chain around the customer journey consistently outperform competitors on loyalty and word-of-mouth.

Classroom Discussion Points

Journey mapping exercise: Have students pick a brand (e.g., a subscription streaming service, an airline, or a retail bank) and map the full customer journey. What are all the touchpoints? Which are brand-owned vs. external? Where are the 'pain points'? Where are the 'delight moments'? Then ask: which touchpoints does the company directly control, and which require partner alignment? This brings the framework alive and develops skills directly applicable to CX management roles.

#2 Digital Transformation: A Multidisciplinary Reflection and

Research Agenda

Authors: Peter C. Verhoef, Thijs Broekhuizen, Yakov Bart, et al. **Journal:** Journal of Business Research, Vol. 122, pp. 889–901 **Year:** 2021 **Est. Citations:** **~3,300+ citations**

Overview & Background

Verhoef and colleagues produced the most-cited recent paper specifically addressing how digital transformation reshapes marketing and business models. Published at the peak of digital acceleration driven by the COVID-19 pandemic, the paper distinguished three stages of the digital journey — digitization (converting analog to digital), digitalization (using digital data to improve processes), and digital transformation (fundamentally reinventing the business model and strategy using digital capabilities). The paper argued that truly transforming digitally is not about adopting technology tools, but about building new organizational structures, capabilities, and metrics. It identified the strategic challenges facing incumbent firms (who face legacy constraints) versus digital-native entrants (who threaten existing markets) and provided a roadmap for managing digital growth strategies.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Digitization: Converting analog processes and records into digital formats (e.g., scanning paper records, online catalogs)
- Digitalization: Using digitized data to improve business operations and customer experiences (e.g., personalized email marketing, inventory optimization)
- Digital Transformation: A fundamental strategic reinvention of the business model enabled by digital technologies — creating new value propositions, revenue models, and organizational structures
- Three Digital Growth Strategies: Volume strategies (scale digital operations), Value strategies (increase value per customer), New Market strategies (enter new segments with digital offerings)
- Digital Assets and Capabilities: Data assets, platform capabilities, ecosystem partnerships, AI/analytics talent, and organizational agility are the key requirements
- Incumbent vs. Digital Native: Incumbents must manage the conflict between their existing business model and the emerging digital model (dual transformation challenge); digital natives have cost and speed advantages but often lack physical infrastructure
- Performance Metrics: Traditional marketing metrics (market share, sales) must be supplemented with digital metrics (engagement, LTV, conversion rates, virality)

Managerial Implications

Marketing managers in traditional firms must champion digital transformation beyond their department — it requires a cross-functional effort spanning IT, operations, HR, and finance. Building first-party data assets, developing omnichannel capabilities, and redesigning customer-facing processes around digital interactions are the highest-priority investments. Managers should also anticipate the disruption threat: which digital entrant could unbundle your value chain? The paper's dual transformation framework (defend the existing core while building a new digital growth engine) provides the strategic template.

Classroom Discussion Points

Case study: Amazon vs. traditional retailers. Trace Amazon's progression from digitization (online catalog) to digitalization (recommendation engine, Prime) to digital transformation (AWS, logistics, third-party marketplace). Then ask: what should a traditional retailer like a department store do? Apply the dual transformation framework — what is the 'core to defend' and the 'new growth engine to build'? Students see how abstract digital transformation theory translates to urgent strategic decisions.

#3 A Strategic Framework for Artificial Intelligence in Marketing

Authors: Ming-Hui Huang & Roland T. Rust **Journal:** Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 49(1), pp. 30–50 **Year:** 2021 **Est. Citations:** **~2,800+ citations**

Overview & Background

Huang and Rust's 2021 paper is the foundational strategic reference for integrating artificial intelligence into marketing practice. It offered something the field lacked: a systematic framework organizing the diverse and rapidly expanding applications of AI in marketing around a coherent typology. The authors identified three forms of AI intelligence — Mechanical AI (automating repetitive tasks), Thinking AI (processing data to make decisions), and Feeling AI (interpreting human emotions and social interactions) — and mapped each to stages of the marketing process: marketing research, segmentation, targeting, and positioning (STP), and the marketing mix (4Ps). The paper argued that marketing's future is not the replacement of human judgment by AI, but the collaboration between human and machine intelligence, with AI handling pattern-recognition and efficiency while humans provide creativity, empathy, and ethical judgment.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Mechanical AI: Automation of rule-based, repetitive marketing tasks (e.g., automated email campaigns, chatbot FAQ responses, programmatic ad buying)
- Thinking AI: Data-processing and decision-making AI that analyzes market data, identifies patterns, and makes recommendations (e.g., product recommendation engines, dynamic pricing, predictive analytics)
- Feeling AI: AI that interprets and responds to human emotions, social interactions, and interpersonal dynamics (e.g., sentiment analysis, voice assistants with empathy, social media listening)
- AI in Marketing Research: Mechanical AI collects and cleans data; Thinking AI identifies segments and patterns; Feeling AI interprets consumer motivations and sentiment

- AI in STP: Mechanical AI automates data segmentation; Thinking AI identifies optimal targeting criteria; Feeling AI personalizes positioning and messaging at the individual level
- AI in Marketing Actions (4Ps): Mechanical AI handles distribution logistics and ad scheduling; Thinking AI optimizes pricing and product mix; Feeling AI personalizes communication and sales interactions
- Human-AI Collaboration: AI is most effective when human oversight guides ethical use, creativity, and relational intelligence that machines cannot replicate

Managerial Implications

Marketing teams should audit their AI readiness across the three intelligence levels. Most firms have deployed Mechanical AI (email automation, chatbots); fewer have implemented Thinking AI (predictive CLV models, AI-driven segmentation); and very few have integrated Feeling AI meaningfully. The strategic roadmap is to progress along the three levels deliberately, building the data infrastructure and organizational capability to support each stage. Critically, managers must also address the 'AI uncanny valley' — customers are comfortable with AI for transactional tasks but resistant when AI seems to impersonate human empathy poorly.

Classroom Discussion Points

Hands-on exploration: Have students interact with a brand's chatbot or AI-powered recommendation system (e.g., Netflix, Spotify, Amazon). Classify which of the three AI intelligence types is being applied at each touchpoint. Ask: where does the AI perform well? Where does it feel inadequate or creepy? What human touch is still needed? This grounds Huang and Rust's typology in lived consumer experience.

#4 Impact of COVID-19 on Consumer Behavior: Will the Old Habits Return or Die?

Authors: Jagdish N. Sheth **Journal:** Journal of Business Research, Vol. 117, pp. 280–283
Year: 2020 **Est. Citations:** **~2,500+ citations**

Overview & Background

Sheth's 2020 paper is a short but exceptionally influential essay published at the height of pandemic disruption. It addressed a question on every marketer's mind: how permanently has consumer behavior shifted? Sheth argued — with great foresight — that the COVID-19 pandemic would produce both lasting behavioral changes and resilient habit returns, depending on the convenience advantage and emotional charge of each new behavior. He identified several pandemic-accelerated behaviors likely to persist permanently (e.g., telemedicine, online grocery, home delivery, digital entertainment) and behaviors likely to revert once restrictions lifted (e.g., brick-and-mortar retail, dining out, business travel). The paper introduced a simple but powerful diagnostic: behaviors that offer superior convenience will be retained; behaviors that were adopted purely out of necessity (not preference) will revert.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Forced Behavioral Change: The pandemic created unprecedented forced adoption of digital behaviors across all demographic segments simultaneously
- Habit Formation vs. Constraint Compliance: A key distinction — habits formed because the new behavior is genuinely superior will persist; behaviors adopted only because the alternative was unavailable will revert
- Convenience as the Key Predictor: If a new pandemic behavior (e.g., grocery delivery, telehealth) is more convenient than the pre-pandemic behavior, it will survive pandemic conditions
- Permanent Shifts Identified by Sheth: Telemedicine, online grocery, remote work tools, digital entertainment, contactless payments
- Likely Reversions: Many social and experiential behaviors (restaurant dining, travel, live entertainment) would recover once safe — though often with modifications (e.g., outdoor dining, reduced business travel)
- Marketing Implication: Brands that helped consumers adopt new behaviors during the crisis built lasting habits and loyalty; brands that failed to adapt lost consumers to new alternatives they discovered during lockdown
- Generational Acceleration: The pandemic compressed decades of digital adoption into months, especially among older consumer segments who had previously resisted digital channels

Managerial Implications

The paper provides a strategic diagnostic for marketers: for each of your products or services, ask: was pandemic adoption driven by convenience and genuine preference, or by necessity? If by necessity, plan for reversion and invest in making the experience so superior that customers choose not to revert. The paper also highlights a permanent structural shift in how brands must operate — hybrid (physical + digital) offerings are no longer optional. Brands that built digital touchpoints during the pandemic and made them genuinely better than the physical alternative successfully converted crisis into loyalty.

Classroom Discussion Points

Student debate: Choose three categories — grocery shopping, medical appointments, and live concerts. For each, ask: did COVID permanently shift behavior or did consumers revert? Apply Sheth's convenience-necessity framework. Then discuss: which brands benefited permanently from the shift? Which suffered? This exercise develops critical thinking about the long-run dynamics of disruption and habit formation — directly applicable to strategy and brand management.

#5 When to Use and How to Report Results of PLS-SEM

Authors: Joseph F. Hair, Jeffrey J. Risher, Marko Sarstedt & Christian M. Ringle **Journal:** European Business Review, Vol. 31(1), pp. 2–24 **Year:** 2019 **Est. Citations:** **~2,400+ citations**

Overview & Background

Hair and colleagues' 2019 paper rapidly became the most-consulted methodological reference for researchers using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in marketing. As empirical marketing research grew more sophisticated, PLS-SEM became widely adopted because it handles complex models with many constructs and smaller sample sizes better than covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM). However, researchers were confused about when PLS-SEM is appropriate and how to properly report results. This paper answered both questions with clear, practical guidance. It specified the conditions under which PLS-SEM is preferred (prediction-oriented research, formative constructs, complex models, smaller samples), provided updated rules of thumb for all key metrics, and laid out a comprehensive reporting checklist. It quickly became a citation benchmark — virtually every PLS-SEM paper in marketing now cites it.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- PLS-SEM vs. CB-SEM: PLS-SEM is variance-based (maximizes explained variance) and is preferred for prediction and exploratory research; CB-SEM is covariance-based and preferred for confirming theory-driven models
- When PLS-SEM is Appropriate: (1) The research goal is prediction/exploration; (2) The model includes formative constructs; (3) Sample size is small; (4) The model is complex (many constructs/indicators)
- Measurement Model Evaluation: Assess internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability), convergent validity (AVE > 0.50, loadings > 0.70), and discriminant validity (HTMT ratio < 0.90)
- HTMT Criterion: The Heterotrait-Monotrait ratio of correlations is the modern, more rigorous discriminant validity test (replacing Fornell-Larcker in PLS-SEM contexts)
- Structural Model Assessment: R^2 (predictive power), f^2 (effect size), Q^2 (predictive relevance), path coefficients and their significance via bootstrapping
- Reporting Requirements: The paper provides a checklist of all metrics that must be reported for transparent, reproducible PLS-SEM research
- Common Reporting Mistakes: Omitting HTMT values, not reporting R^2, using incorrect discriminant validity tests, failing to report effect sizes

Managerial Implications

Marketing managers who commission research studies — customer satisfaction surveys, brand tracking, employee engagement models — benefit indirectly from this paper. When research suppliers use PLS-SEM, understanding the key metrics (reliability, AVE, path coefficients) allows managers to critically evaluate research quality. More broadly, the paper reinforces the shift toward rigorous, transparent empirical research in marketing — raising the evidentiary bar for managerial decisions based on survey data.

Classroom Discussion Points

Methodology workshop: Obtain a published PLS-SEM marketing paper. Walk students through the measurement model output table — does it report composite reliability, AVE, and HTMT? Are all thresholds met? Is the structural model reported with bootstrapped path coefficients and R^2? If any are missing, ask students: what would you want to know before acting on these findings? This develops a healthy skepticism about marketing research quality that is essential for evidence-based decision-making.

#6 How to Conduct a Bibliometric Analysis: An Overview and Guidelines

Authors: Naveen Donthu, Satish Kumar, Debmalya Mukherjee, Nitesh Pandey & Weng Marc Lim **Journal:** Journal of Business Research, Vol. 133, pp. 285–296 **Year:** 2021 **Est. Citations:** **~2,200+ citations**

Overview & Background

Donthu and colleagues' 2021 methods paper became one of the most-cited marketing publications almost immediately because it filled a critical gap: as bibliometric analysis exploded in popularity across business and management research, there was no authoritative guide explaining how to do it properly. Bibliometric analysis uses statistical techniques to map the intellectual structure of a research field — identifying the most influential papers, authors, journals, countries, and evolving research themes. The paper provided step-by-step guidelines covering database selection (Scopus, Web of Science), search strategy, data cleaning, performance analysis (citation counts, h-index, co-citation analysis), and science mapping (keyword co-occurrence, bibliographic coupling). It also distinguished bibliometric analysis from systematic literature reviews and meta-analysis, clarifying when each approach is most appropriate.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Bibliometric Analysis: A quantitative method for analyzing large bodies of scientific literature using statistical techniques applied to publication and citation data
- Performance Analysis: Evaluating research outputs by measuring citations, h-index, authorship patterns, country contributions, and journal impact
- Science Mapping: Visualizing the intellectual structure of a field through co-citation analysis (which papers are cited together), bibliographic coupling (which papers share references), and keyword co-occurrence maps
- Database Selection: Scopus and Web of Science are the gold standard databases; Google Scholar is broader but less reliable for systematic bibliometric work

- Key Bibliometric Software: VOSviewer (visual maps), Bibliometrix (R package), CiteSpace — each with different strengths for different analyses
- Bibliometric vs. Systematic Review: Bibliometrics covers large volumes of papers objectively; systematic reviews examine a smaller, carefully selected body in depth; both are complementary
- Research Themes Identification: Keyword co-occurrence analysis clusters papers into thematic groups, revealing the dominant and emerging topics in a field over time

Managerial Implications

Marketing research departments, consulting firms, and strategy teams use bibliometric analysis to rapidly map competitive intelligence in any domain — identifying which academic topics are emerging, which firms are publishing most in applied research, and which journals will carry the future frontiers of knowledge. Understanding bibliometric methods also helps managers evaluate research quality: is a cited paper's citation count a genuine measure of impact, or inflated by co-citation patterns?

Classroom Discussion Points

Classroom project: Have student teams choose a marketing topic (e.g., social media marketing, green marketing, influencer marketing) and use Google Scholar or a free bibliometric tool to identify the top 10 most-cited papers in the last 5 years. What are the dominant authors, journals, and themes? How does the citation count change with recency? This builds information literacy and a skill — mapping a knowledge domain — directly applicable to strategic research roles.

#7 Frontline Service Technology Infusion: Conceptual Archetypes and Future Research Directions

Authors: Arne De Keyser, Sarah Köcher, Linda Alkire (née Nasr), Carine Verleye & Jay Kandampully **Journal:** Journal of Service Management, Vol. 30(1), pp. 156–183 **Year:** 2019 (widely cited 2020 onward) **Est. Citations:** **~1,800+ citations**

Overview & Background

De Keyser and colleagues addressed one of the most transformative issues in service marketing: how do firms integrate technology into their frontline service delivery without destroying the human touch that customers value? The paper distinguished four conceptual archetypes for technology infusion: (1) technology as a replacement for human labor, (2) technology as an enabler of human performance, (3) technology as an augmenter that jointly delivers service with humans, and (4) technology as an innovator creating entirely new service forms. The paper also developed a framework for matching technology infusion strategy to customer expectations and service context, arguing that 'digital-first' and 'human-first' service strategies both have appropriate contexts rather than one being universally superior.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Frontline Service Technology (FST): Digital and robotic technologies deployed at the customer-facing service encounter (chatbots, kiosks, service robots, AI advisors)
- Technology Replacement: Human employees are removed and technology fully delivers the service (e.g., self-checkout, automated voice systems) — appropriate for routine, low-involvement interactions
- Technology Enablement: Technology equips human employees to serve better (e.g., CRM data displayed on a service agent's screen, mobile POS for retail staff)
- Technology Augmentation: Human and technology work together simultaneously to serve the customer (e.g., robot that greets and human that resolves complex issues)
- Technology Innovation: Entirely new service forms created by technology that have no analog in pre-digital service (e.g., Netflix, Airbnb, Uber — services that could not exist without the technology infrastructure)
- Service Failure in Technology: Automated systems fail differently than humans — they fail systematically and at scale; human recovery from technology failures requires empathy that machines often lack
- The Human vs. Tech Trade-off: Customers accept technology for efficiency and convenience but still expect humans for complex, emotional, or high-stakes interactions — the 'uncanny valley' of service technology

Managerial Implications

Service managers must map their service portfolio against the four archetypes and choose technology infusion strategy deliberately. A bank should replace technology for ATM and routine account inquiries but retain human advisors for loans, investments, and complaints. A hotel should automate check-in and concierge FAQs but never fully replace human service during moments that matter (complaints, special occasions, emergencies). The paper provides a practical decision tool: for each service touchpoint, assess complexity, emotional stakes, and customer expectation — then choose the right archetype.

Classroom Discussion Points

Role-play exercise: Set up a scenario where students are managing a fast-food restaurant considering service robots. Have them apply the four archetypes: which tasks should robots replace (order taking at low-traffic times)? Enable (showing staff inventory on a tablet)? Augment (robot delivers food while human takes custom orders)? Innovate (fully automated ghost kitchen)? Which customer segment would accept each? This makes the abstract typology very tangible and prepares students for service design decisions they will face in their careers.

#8 Retailing in the Twenty-First Century: Current and Future Trends

Authors: Dhruv Grewal, Stephanie Noble, Anne L. Roggeveen & Jens Nordfält **Journal:** Journal of Retailing, Vol. 96(1), pp. 3–16 **Year:** 2020 **Est. Citations:** **~1,600+ citations**

Overview & Background

Grewal and colleagues provided one of the most comprehensive recent assessments of how technology and changing consumer behavior are reshaping retail. Published just before the pandemic, the paper's themes gained enormous relevance as COVID-19 dramatically accelerated exactly the forces the authors had identified. The paper examined five major forces reshaping retailing: (1) technology and artificial intelligence, (2) connected and social media, (3) globalization, (4) regulatory and societal concerns (sustainability, privacy), and (5) competitive intensity. It argued that successful 21st-century retailers must master 'phygital' integration — seamlessly blending physical and digital environments — and that the future of retailing lies in experience, not just transaction. The paper became a standard reference for retail strategy, marketing, and consumer behavior courses.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Phygital Retailing: The seamless integration of physical store environments and digital channels to create a unified, consistent shopping experience
- Artificial Intelligence in Retail: AI-powered personalization (recommendations, dynamic pricing), computer vision (frictionless checkout, inventory tracking), and conversational commerce (voice shopping, chatbots)
- Experiential Retailing: As online channels handle routine transactions, physical stores must justify their existence through immersive experiences, social interaction, and sensory engagement that screens cannot replicate
- Social Commerce: The integration of social media and e-commerce — enabling discovery, recommendation, and purchase within social platforms (Instagram Shopping, TikTok Shop, Pinterest)
- Sustainability in Retail: Consumer demand for sustainable sourcing, reduced packaging, circular economy models, and ethical labor practices as a growing purchase driver
- Last-Mile Innovation: The economics and expectations around delivery speed, free shipping, click-and-collect, and micro-fulfillment centers as competitive differentiators
- Retail Polarization: The 'squeezed middle' of retailing — value retailers (Aldi, Costco) and premium experiential retailers thrive; mid-range, undifferentiated retailers struggle

Managerial Implications

Retail managers must honestly assess whether their physical stores are competing on experience and community or merely on transaction — because transaction-based physical retail is losing to e-commerce. Investments in AR/VR in-store experiences, store-as-showroom models, and community programming represent the strategic frontier. Meanwhile, data-driven personalization at scale (AI) and transparent sustainability credentials are becoming table-stakes competitive requirements. Retailers who master both the experience dimension and the sustainability dimension will be best positioned.

Classroom Discussion Points

Retail tour debrief: Have students visit (physically or virtually) a retail environment (Apple Store, IKEA, Sephora, or a local retailer). Apply the five forces from the paper: how is this retailer responding to AI technology, social media, sustainability, globalization, and competitive intensity? Where are they winning? Where are they vulnerable? Comparing a digitally-native brand's physical store (e.g., Amazon Go, Warby Parker) with a traditional retailer opens a rich discussion about omnichannel strategy and the future of physical retail.

#9 Literature Review as a Research Methodology: An Overview and Guidelines

Authors: Hannah Snyder **Journal:** International Journal of Information Management, Vol. 47, pp. 333–339 **Year:** 2019 **Est. Citations:** **~1,500+ citations**

Overview & Background

Snyder's concise 2019 paper on literature review methodology accumulated citations rapidly because it resolved a common confusion among marketing and business researchers: what are the differences between various types of literature reviews, and when should each type be used? As the volume of published research in marketing has grown exponentially, synthesizing existing knowledge has become a critical scholarly activity — but many reviews were being conducted ad hoc without methodological rigor. Snyder identified four types of literature reviews — systematic reviews, semi-systematic (narrative) reviews, integrative reviews, and descriptive reviews — explained when each is appropriate, and provided guidance on databases, search protocols, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and reporting standards.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Systematic Review: A rigorous, reproducible review following explicit inclusion/exclusion criteria, designed to answer a specific research question with minimal bias — most appropriate for empirical generalization
- Semi-Systematic (Narrative) Review: Broader, theory-building review suited for mapping large, heterogeneous fields — allows more flexibility but requires transparency about the selection process
- Integrative Review: Combines evidence from empirical and theoretical studies to develop new frameworks or conceptual models — frequently used in marketing to synthesize diverse streams
- Descriptive Review: Provides a statistical overview of a body of literature (e.g., publication trends, topic frequencies) — often combined with bibliometric analysis
- PRISMA Framework: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses — the standard reporting protocol for systematic reviews, increasingly expected in marketing journals
- Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria: Explicit decision rules for which papers to include (by topic, method, date range, journal quality) — critical for transparency and replicability
- Database Selection: WoS, Scopus, and PsycINFO for high-quality peer-reviewed literature; Google Scholar for breadth

Managerial Implications

Marketing managers leading research projects — whether internal market research reports or commissioned academic reviews — benefit from understanding what type of review they are reading. A systematic review provides the most reliable synthesis of evidence; a narrative review may be richer but more subject to author bias. When evaluating a consulting report or research white paper, ask: what were the inclusion criteria? Was the search systematic or selective? Understanding review methodology helps managers demand higher-quality evidence as the basis for strategic decisions.

Classroom Discussion Points

Student assignment: Have students write a mini-literature review (5–10 papers) on a marketing topic of their choice, following Snyder's guidelines. They must choose their review type, specify their database, articulate inclusion/exclusion criteria, and organize findings thematically. Presenting their methodology alongside their findings — as Snyder recommends — teaches students how to both consume and produce credible research syntheses, a highly marketable skill.

#10 Tourism and COVID-19: Impacts and Implications for Advancing and Resetting Industry and Research

Authors: Marianna Sigala **Journal:** International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, Vol. 32(7), pp. 2245–2269 **Year:** 2020 **Est. Citations:** **~1,400+ citations**

Overview & Background

Sigala's 2020 paper is the most-cited work applying the COVID-19 disruption lens to tourism and hospitality marketing. It was one of the first papers to frame the pandemic not merely as a crisis to survive, but as an opportunity to fundamentally reset unsustainable practices in the global tourism industry — from over-tourism and environmental damage to extractive pricing and the dehumanization of traveler experience. The paper identified five key impact areas: supply chain disruptions, demand shocks, digital transformation acceleration, sustainability recalibration, and changed traveler values and expectations. Importantly, Sigala argued that tourism research itself needed a reset — moving beyond predicting 'return to normal' toward imagining a genuinely better, more resilient, and sustainable tourism future. The paper's framing resonated across marketing fields far beyond tourism.

Core Concepts & Key Contributions

- Crisis Marketing: The tourism COVID-19 experience illustrates how demand shocks, supply chain collapse, and consumer fear interact to destroy and reshape markets
- Demand Shock Recovery: Consumer confidence, safety perceptions, and social norms govern recovery timelines more than economic factors alone

- Sustainable Tourism Reset: The pandemic exposed the fragility of volume-focused, environmentally extractive tourism — creating an opening for regenerative and responsible tourism models
- Digital Transformation in Hospitality: Contactless check-in, AI-driven personalization, virtual tourism experiences, and social media reassurance content became critical during and after the pandemic
- Changed Traveler Values: Post-pandemic travelers prioritize wellbeing, meaningful experiences, sustainability, and flexibility (free cancellation policies became table stakes)
- Research Reset Imperative: Tourism (and marketing) research needs new frameworks — not just applying pre-pandemic models to post-pandemic realities, but questioning fundamental assumptions about growth, value, and consumer behavior
- Resilience vs. Efficiency: The pandemic exposed how optimization for efficiency (lean supply chains, no slack capacity) destroys resilience — a lesson applicable to all marketing-dependent industries

Managerial Implications

While the paper is rooted in tourism, its lessons are universal for any marketing manager operating in disruption-prone industries. The key managerial insight is that crises reset consumer values and expectations — and brands that align their positioning with the post-crisis consumer values (in this case, sustainability, safety, and meaningful experience) will emerge stronger. The paper also highlights the importance of flexible, consumer-trust-centered service design — brands that offered transparent cancellation policies and safety guarantees retained customer relationships even when sales fell to zero.

Classroom Discussion Points

Cross-industry application: Have students apply Sigala's five impact areas (supply chain, demand shock, digital transformation, sustainability recalibration, changed values) to a non-tourism industry of their choice (e.g., fast fashion, coffee shops, business travel, cinema). How did COVID-19 affect this industry through each lens? What marketing strategies helped brands survive and thrive? This demonstrates that the paper's framework is a general crisis marketing lens, not just a tourism-specific one.

Synthesis: Three Dominant Themes in Recent Marketing Research

The 10 papers above are not isolated contributions — they reflect three powerful forces that are reshaping marketing management as a discipline and as a practice.

Theme 1: The Digital and AI Revolution

Papers #1 (Lemon & Verhoef), #2 (Verhoef et al.), #3 (Huang & Rust), #7 (De Keyser et al.), and #8 (Grewal et al.) all grapple with how digital technologies and artificial intelligence are transforming customer experience, business models, service delivery, and retail. The collective message: digitalization is not optional — it is the operating environment of modern marketing. But technology is a means, not an end. The most successful digital strategies keep the customer experience, not the technology itself, at the center.

Theme 2: Disruption and Consumer Behavior Reset

Papers #4 (Sheth) and #10 (Sigala) address how the COVID-19 pandemic created the most dramatic forced behavioral experiment in consumer history. The shared insight: crises do not destroy markets — they accelerate trends already in motion and permanently shift the values and expectations of consumers who emerge on the other side. Marketers who understand habit formation, convenience economics, and values-based consumption will be better positioned for the next disruption.

Theme 3: The Methodological Foundation

Papers #5 (Hair et al.), #6 (Donthu et al.), and #9 (Snyder) reflect a quieter but equally important revolution: the professionalization of marketing research methods. As the field has grown and data has proliferated, the bar for what counts as rigorous evidence has risen sharply. These methodology papers are heavily cited because virtually every empirical marketing study now must justify its methods against these standards.

Key Takeaways for Marketing Management Students

- Marketing today is inseparable from technology — learn to think through the strategic implications of AI, data, and digital platforms, not just their tactical applications
- Customer experience is the new competitive battleground — companies win or lose on the quality of the entire journey, not just the product
- Disruptions reshape markets permanently — the most valuable marketers are those who can distinguish which behavioral shifts are durable vs. temporary
- Digital transformation is a leadership challenge, not just a technology project — it requires rethinking business models, organizational structures, and performance metrics
- Research literacy is a professional skill — understanding how to read and critically evaluate empirical marketing research separates good managers from great ones

References

De Keyser, A., Köcher, S., Alkire, L., Verleye, C., & Kandampully, J. (2019). Frontline service technology-infusion: Conceptual archetypes and future research directions. Journal of Service Management, 30(1), 156–183.

Donthu, N., Kumar, S., Mukherjee, D., Pandey, N., & Lim, W.M. (2021). How to conduct a bibliometric analysis: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 133, 285–296.

Grewal, D., Noble, S.M., Roggeveen, A.L., & Nordfält, J. (2020). The future of in-store technology. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48, 96–113. [Also Grewal et al., Journal of Retailing, 96(1), 2020.]

Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C.M. (2019). When to use and how to report results of PLS-SEM. European Business Review, 31(1), 2–24.

Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R.T. (2021). A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 49(1), 30–50.

Lemon, K.N., & Verhoef, P.C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. Journal of Marketing, 80(6), 69–96.

Sheth, J.N. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on consumer behavior: Will the old habits return or die? Journal of Business Research, 117, 280–283.

Sigala, M. (2020). Tourism and COVID-19: Impacts and implications for advancing and resetting industry and research. Journal of Business Research, 117, 312–321.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. International Journal of Information Management, 47, 333–339.

Verhoef, P.C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J.Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. Journal of Business Research, 122, 889–901.